

# SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF LEAF EATING CATERPILLAR, SPODOPTERA LITURA (FABRICIUS) IN GROUNDNUT ECOSYSTEM DURING KHARIF SEASON

# M. Pazhanisamy, M. Senthilkumar and V. Sathyaseelan

Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (T.N.) India.

# Abstract

Field experiments were carried out to study the seasonal incidence of *S. litura* on groundnut during kharif 2010 and 2011 at Variyankaval, Ariyalur district, Tamil Nadu. The results of seasonal incidence showed that the appearance of *S. litura* was noticed on 36<sup>th</sup> MSW and the highest population observed during 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (4.54 larvae per meter row), however the highest per cent infestation of leaflets recorded during 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (68.4 %) followed by 42<sup>nd</sup> MSW (50.2 %) and 40<sup>th</sup> MSW (49.5 %). In *kharif* 2011, the per cent infestation of leaflets increased gradually reached peak during 40<sup>th</sup> MSW (72.5%) followed by 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (60.4%) and 39<sup>th</sup> MSW (54.50%). The maximum larval population of *S. litura* was noticed in 40<sup>th</sup> MSW (5.92 larvae/mrl). Correlation analysis in both seasons on groundnut revealed that R.H (r = 0.452) and (r = 0.609) showed significant positive association, while wind speed (r = - 0.540) and (r = - 0.490) exhibited negative association with mean larvae of *S. litura* per meter row during *kharif* 2010 and 2011 respectively.

Key words: Seasonal incidence, S. litura, Kharif season, Arachis hypogaea

## Introduction

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important oil seed crop of tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. India ranks first in groundnut cultivation with an area of 5.53 m ha and occupies second place in production (9.67 million tonnes) with productivity of 1750 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In India, groundnut is mostly grown in five states viz., Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Maharashtra which accounts for 80 percent of total area and 84 per cent of total production of groundnut. The national average yield of rabi groundnut is higher (1600kg/ha) than kharif (1000kg/ha) (NICRA, 2011). Among the major groundnut producing states of India, Tamil Nadu ranks fourth in acreage (0.76 m ha) with total production of 0.83 million tonnes. The reasons for low productivity may be several, of which insect pest damage is the major one. Insect pests are recognized as one of the major constraints on groundnut production causing severe losses to groundnut in India (Vikram Singh, 1980). Among these insect pests, red hairy caterpillar causes up to 75 percent, leaf miner causes up to 49

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail : mpsamy26@rediffmail.com

percent, jassids causes yield losses up to 17 percent, thrips causes up to 17 percent yield losses.

Among the defoliators Spodoptera is one of the important pests cause yield loss. Spodoptera litura (Fab.) is an economically important polyphagous insect and this pest was widely distributed throughout Asia and causing considerable economic loss to many field, vegetables and fruit crops. Crop loss due to insect varies between 10 to 30 percent for major crops. In case of severe infestation, the entire crop is damaged badly, thus causing 40 percent defoliation of leaf area. It is indicated that climatic changes affect the activity of tobacco caterpillar. Therefore, knowledge of how insect pests respond to climate variation is of fundamental importance in understanding insect pest management. Keeping these in view, field experiment was conducted to address the importance and impact of weather on S. litura incidence on groundnut crop.

# **Materials and Methods**

Field experiment was conducted in farmer's field, at Variyankaval village, Jayankondam, during *kharif* seasons 2010-2011 to study the seasonal incidence of *S*. litura infesting groundnut. The popular cultivar TMV 2 was sown with a spacing of  $30 \times 10$  cm. The plot size was  $5m \times 5m$  and replicated three times. All the recommended agronomic practices were followed in the cultivation of the crop except the plant protection measures. In each season, beginning from 30th day after sowing, observations were made on larval population. foliage damage at weekly interval. Observations were made at weekly interval on leaflet damage in a randomly selected meter row and expressed as per cent leaflet damage. Absolute larval population was also recorded from same meter row.

The natural incidences of S.litura were correlated

with weather parameters. The data on weather parameters viz., maximum, minimum temperature (C<sup>o</sup>), relative humidity (RH), wind velocity, and rain fall were recorded daily at automatic weather station Jayankondam and presented as weekly average. The mean weather data that prevailed seven days prior to each observation were calculated to work out correlation studies. The data were analyzed by multiple correlation and regression to study the relation between weather parameters and per cent incidence of larvae (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

# **Results and Discussion**

# Seasonal incidence of S. litura in groundnut ecosystem during kharif 2010 and 2011

Field experiments were carried out to study the seasonal incidence of S. litura on groundnut at Varivankaval, Javankondam, Arivalur district, Tamil Nadu during 2010 for two seasons (Kharif 2010 and *Kharif* 2011) presented in table 1. The results of seasonal incidence showed that the appearance of S. litura was noticed on 36<sup>th</sup> MSW and the highest population observed during 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (4.54 larvae per meter row), however the per cent infestation of leaflets was observed from 36th MSW and increased trend of per cent infestation was noticed up to 41<sup>st</sup> MSW thereafter

percent infestation was gradually declined during Kharif 2010. The highest per cent infestation of leaflets recorded during 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (68.4%) followed by 42<sup>nd</sup> MSW (50.2%) and 40<sup>th</sup> MSW (49.5%).

In *kharif* 2011, the percent infestation of leaflets increased gradually reached peak during 40<sup>th</sup> MSW (72.5%) followed by 41<sup>st</sup> MSW (60.4%) \*\* Significant at 0.05 probability level and 39th MSW (54.50%). The maximum larval \* Significant at 0.01 probability level

population of S. litura was noticed in 40th MSW (5.92 larvae/mrl). The present observations on S. litura incidence are in agreement with the findings of Singh and Sachan (1993) who indicated that peak population of S. litura of which recorded between 40<sup>th</sup> and 43<sup>rd</sup> standard weeks. Similar observations were noticed by Gedia et al., (2007) who showed that oviposition of S. *litura* on groundnut foliage was first appeared in mid July (30<sup>th</sup> standard week) and three peaks of S. litura egg masses were observed with highest oviposition (1.3 & 1.5/m<sup>2</sup>) during 36<sup>th</sup> standard week in groundnut. AICRP 2013 showed the maximum incidence of S. litura was noticed in the all the fields (Vridhachalam taluk) during reproductive stage. Monobrullah et al., (2007) reported

Table 1: Studies on incidence of S. litura in groundnut ecosystem during kharif season

	Seasonal incidence of <i>S. litura</i>						
		Kharif 2010				Kharif 2011	
Month	MSW	% infest-	No. of	Month	MSW	% infest-	No. of
		ation of	Larvae			ation of	Larvae
		leaflets	/m row			leaflets	/m row
	31	0	0	August	31	0	0
Jan.	32	0	0		32	0	0
	33	0	0		33	0	0
	34	0	0		34	0	0
Feb.	35	0	0	Sep.	35	0	0
	36	13.5	0.92		36	15.5	0.76
	37	28.8	1.26		37	31.5	2.12
	38	30.2	1.28		38	38.9	2.78
Mar.	39	38.7	1.46		39	54.5	3.16
	40	49.5	2.92	Oct.	40	72.5	5.92
	41	68.4	4.54		41	60.4	5.21
	42	50.2	3.86		42	44.6	2.3
April	43	30.8	0.84		43	52.2	3.5
	44	24.5	0.80	Nov.	44	24.2	1.1
	45	20.2	0		45	30.1	1.3
May	46	0	0		46	13.4	0.82
SEd		0.428	0.0114			0.437	0.0141
CD(0.01)		1.179	0.0313			1.204	0.0387

Mean larval population /meter row,

Date of sowing: 17.07.2010 (Kharif 2010) & 20.07.2011(Kharif 2011) MSW- Meteorological standard week

Table 2: Correlation between weather parameters and weekly observations on larval population of S. *litura* in groundnut.

Mean	Weather parameters					
S. litura	Max.	Min.	RH(%)	Wind speed	Rainfall	
larva/mrl	Temp.(C)	Temp.(C)		(kmph)	(mm)	
Kharif 2010	-0.246	0.235	0.452*	-0.540*	-0.018	
Kharif 2011	-0.052	0.372	0.609**	-0.490*	-0.191	

that peak larval population of *S. litura* was noticed on tomato in  $25^{\text{th}}$  standard week (3.5 larva/plant) the larval population attained its peak during  $43^{\text{rd}}$  standard week (4.3 larva/plant).

## Influence of weather parameters on larval incidence of *S.litura* on groundnut during *kharif* 2010 and 2011

The results of correlation study revealed that R.H (r = 0.452) and (r = 0.609) showed significant positive association, while wind speed (r = -0.540) and (r = -0.490) exhibited negative association with mean larvae of *S*.

Table 3: Multiple linear regression analysis of per	cent leaflet
damage due to S. litura (Y) and weather para	ameters (X)
in groundnut during <i>kharif</i> 2010 and 2011.	(n=16)

Variables	Partial	Standard	ʻť'	
	regression	error	value	r <sup>2</sup>
	coefficient			
	Kharif 2	010		
X1=Max. Temperature	2.59	9.41	0.275 <sup>NS</sup>	
X2=Min. Temperature	13.6	11.2	- 1.21 <sup>NS</sup>	
X3=Relative Humidity	1.93	3.14	0.616 <sup>NS</sup>	0.550
X4= Wind speed	-15.0	12.2	-1.22 <sup>NS</sup>	
X5=Rainfall	-1.28	0.946	-2.35*	
Kharif 2011				
X1=Max. Temperature	3.82	3.64	1.04 <sup>NS</sup>	0.761
X2=Min. Temperature	-2.13	6.32	-0.337 <sup>NS</sup>	
X3=Relative Humidity	1.50	0.579	2.59*	
X4= Wind speed	-2.92	6.51	-0.449 <sup>NS</sup>	
X5=Rainfall	-2.23	1.13	-2.17*	

NS= Non significant \* Significant P = 0.05 CD (P=0.05): 2.13 \*\* Highly significant P = 0.01 CD (P=0.01): 2.95

 Table 4: Multiple linear regression analysis of S. litura larval population (Y) and weather parameters (X) in groundnut during *kharif* 2010 and 2011. (n=16)

Variables	Partial	Standard	ʻtʻ	
	regression	error	value	r <sup>2</sup>
	coefficient			
	Kharif 2	010		
X1=Max. Temperature	-0.683	8.90	0.070 <sup>NS</sup>	
X2=Min. Temperature	0.687	13.08	- 0.052 <sup>NS</sup>	
X3=Relative Humidity	-1.15	3.16	-0.365 <sup>NS</sup>	0.355
X4= Wind speed	- 18.8	12.92	-2.450*	
X5=Rainfall	-0.150	0.981	-0.153 NS	
	Kharif 2	011		
X1=Max. Temperature	0.259	0.305	0.848 <sup>NS</sup>	
X2=Min. Temperature	0.171	0.529	-0.322 <sup>NS</sup>	
X3=Relative Humidity	0.121	0.048	-2.49*	0.717
X4= Wind speed	-0.103	0.546	-0.189 <sup>NS</sup>	
X5=Rainfall	-0.129	0.094	-1.360 <sup>NS</sup>	

NS= Non significant \* Significant P = 0.05 CD (P=0.05): 2.13 \*\* Highly significant P = 0.01 CD (P=0.01): 2.95 *litura* per meter row during *kharif* 2010 and 2011 respectively. Whereas, mean larval population of *S. litura* per meter row was found positively correlated with minimum temperature (r = 0.235) & (r = 0.372) on groundnut during *kharif* 2010 and 2011. While the rainfall (r = -0.018) & (r = -0.191), maximum temperature (r = -0.246) & (r = -0.052) exerted negative correlation with weather parameters during *kharif* season of 2010 and 2011. These results are in agreement with the findings of Nadaf and Kulkarni (2006). They reported a significant positive association with larval population of *S. litura* and minimum temperature.

According to Selvaraj et al., (2010) who observed that larval population built up of S. litura showed a positive correlation with relative humidity, sunshine hours and dewfall, whereas negatively correlated with wind velocity. Similar trend of results were noticed by Harish Kumar Netam et al., (2013) who obtained the positive relation between larva of S. litura and R.H. The present findings are contrary to Vinothkumar et al., (2009) report that the correlation and regression studies showed that during kharif season, the individual weather parameters have no significant correlation with the abundance of S. *litura*, but all weather parameters together showed influence upto 90% on the incidence of S. litura and Satvanaravana et al., (2010) observed the incidence of S. litura in terms of larval population had non-significant relationship with maximum temperature, relative humidity and wind speed. This may be due to variation in weather parameters distribution.

The multiple regressions between mean number of *S. litura*/mrl and weather parameters in groundnut during *kharif* season of 2010 and 2011 are presented in table 3. The multiple regression analysis showed that R.H and wind speed exerted a significantly negative influence on mean number of *S. litura*/ mrl during the *kharif* 2010 and *kharif* 2011 respectively. However, maximum temperature alone showed positive influence but it was not significant. The multiple regression equation fitted with weather factors to predict the mean larva of *S. litura* per meter row length was

# Season Regression equation

Influence of weather parameters on per cent leaflet damage by *S.litura* on groundnut during *kharif* 2010 and 2011

Correlation between weather parameters and per

 

 Table 5: Correlation Coefficients between weather parameters and weekly observation on per cent leaflet damage due to S. litura in groundnut.

Mean		Weather parameters					
percent	Max.	Min.	RH(%)	Wind speed	Rainfall		
leaflet damage	Temp.(C)	Temp.(C)		(kmph)	(mm)		
Kharif 2010	-0.246	-0.235	0.352	-0.540*	-0.018		
Kharif 2011	-0.064	0.023	0.680**	-0.600**	-0.244		

\*\* Significant at 0.05 probability level

\* Significant at 0.01 probability level

cent leaflet damage in groundnut due to S. litura during the kharif season of 2010 and 2011 are presented in table 4. The result of correlation study revealed that wind speed (r = -0.540 and r = -0.600) showed significant negative association, while R.H in *kharif* 2011 (r = 0.680) exhibited significant positive association with percent leaflet damage. However, percent leaflet damage caused by S. litura was found negatively correlated with rainfall (r = -0.018 & r = -0.244) and maximum temperature (r = -0.018 & r = -0.244)-0.246 & r = -0.064) and they were not significant. The minimum temperature showed negative correlation with per cent leaflet damage during the season of kharif 2010 and it was not significant. The findings of present study are in agreement with Selvaraj et al., (2010) who reported that the correlation matrix between the weather factors and S. litura damage per cent revealed that a significant positive correlation existed with morning relative humidity, sunshine hours and dewfall, whereas a significant negative correlation was recorded with wind speed, while nonsignificant and negative correlation was recorded with maximum temperature, minimum temperature and rainfall.

The multiple regressions between percent leaflet damage caused by *S. litura* and weather parameters in groundnut during *kharif* season of 2010 and 2011 are presented in table 5. The multiple regression analysis indicated that rainfall exerted a significantly negative influence on per cent incidence/mrl due to *S. litura* during *kharif* 2010 and 2011, whereas R.H in *kharif* 2011 was correlated positively significant influence on per cent incidence on per cent the per cent leaflets damage/mrl was

## Season Regression equation

*Kharif* 2010 Y= -197.00 + 0.275<sup>NS</sup> X1 -1.21<sup>NS</sup> X<sub>2</sub> + 0.616<sup>NS</sup> X<sub>3</sub> -1.22<sup>NS</sup> X<sub>4</sub> -2.35\* X5

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Kharif 2011 } Y = -150.49 \, + \, 1.04^{\text{NS}} \, X_1 \, -0.337^{\text{NS}} \, X_2 \\ + \, 2.59^{*} \, X_3 \, \text{-}0.449^{\text{NS}} \, X_4 \, \text{-}2.17^{*} \, X_5 \end{array}$ 

# Conclusions

The results present studies concluded that the first

appearance of *S. litura* larval population was recorded from  $36^{\text{th}}$  MSW to reached peak in  $40^{\text{th}}$ (5.92/mrl),  $41^{\text{st}}$  MSW (4.54/mrl) and declined after six weeks of sowing. The R.H and minimum temperature showed significant positive association, while maximum temperature, wind speed and rainfall showed negatively non significant correlation with mean larvae of *S. litura*. The minimum temperature showed significant positive association. However, wind

speed exhibited significant negative association with per cent leaflet damage by *S. litura* on groundnut during *kharif* seasons of 2010 and 2011.

# References

- AICRP (2013). Annual Progress Report for all India Coordinated Research project on Groundnut in 2013. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, pp- E1- E84.
- Gedia, M.V., H.J. Vyas and M.F. Acharya (2007). Studies on influence of weather parameters on Spodoptera litura in pheromone trap and oviposition on groundnut. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **15(2)**: 316-320.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. Wiley International Science Publications, John Wiley and Sons. New York. 680p.
- Kumar, Harish Netam, Rajeev Gupta and Shivam Soni (2013). Seasonal Incidence of Insect Pests and Their Biocontrol Agents on Soybean. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, **2(2):** 7-11.
- Kumar Vinoth, B., T. N. Balasubramanian and R. Jagannathan (2009). Seasonal Incidence and Influence of Weather Factors on the Incidence of Spodoptera litura (Fab.) on Groundnut. The IUP Journal.
- Monobrullah, M.D., Poonam Bharathi, Uma Shankar, R.K. Gupta, Kuldeep Srivastava and Hafeez Ahmad (2007). Trap catches and seasonal incidence of Spodoptera litura on cauliflower and tomato. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **15(1)**: 73-76.
- Nadaf, A.M. and K.A. Kulkarni (2006). Seasonal Incidence of the fruit borers, Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner) and Spodoptera litura Fabricius on chilli in Dharwad. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **19(3):** 549-552.
- NICRA (2011). Manual for Groundnut Pest Surveillance. Jointly published by National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad and Directorate of Groundnut Research, Gujarat. 29pp.
- Selvaraj, S., D. Adiroubane, V. Ramesh and A.L. Narayanan (2010). Impact of ecological factors on incidence and development of tobacco cut worm, Spodoptera litura Fabricius on cotton. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(1 Special Issue): 43–46.
- Singh, K.N. and G.C. Sachan (1993). Assessment of the use of sex pheromone traps in the management of Spodoptera litura F. *Indian J. Pl. Protec.*, 21(1): 7-13.
- Singh, Vikram (1980). Indian Coordinated Research Project on